

































G.U.T. proposition I

You must be able to attack response time problems for specific tasks that the business cares about.









G.U.T. proposition 2

You need to be able to attack inefficiencies that aren't yet noticeable as user response time problems.



Posting takes 3 hours. It should take 2, but no user really cares.

WHY SHOULD YOU CARE?

BECAUSE *WASTE* COSTS YOU MONEY





























BUT HOW CAN YOU KNOW WHAT CAUSES A SPECIFIC TASK TO BE SLOW?







How can you possibly KNOW that?



















































R	esource	consum	nption (se	econds)) by task	
	Total system		Your task		Other tasks	
Latches	7,502	74%	2	2%	7,500	75%
I/O	275	3%	75	75%	200	2%
Other	2,323	23%	23	23%	2,300	23%
Total	10,100	100%	100	100%	10,000	100%






(Oracle release doesn't matter)









Query	BCHR
Ql	99%
ବୃଛ	90%

Query	BCHR	Memory accesses	Disk accesses
Q1	99%	100	1
ବୃଛ	90%	10	1
			1



























call	count	cpu	elapsed	disk	query	current	rows
Parse	1	0.07	0.15	0	0	0	0
Execute	1	0.00	0.00	0	0	0	0
Fetch	2	0.21	0.26	4	6695	0	1
total	4	0.29	0.41	4	6695	0	1
Parsing Rows	user id:	ce Operat	ion			-	
Parsing Rows	user id: Row Sour	ce Operat	ion			-	
Parsing Rows 1	Row Sour SORT AGG	ce Operat REGATE (c	ion 	ow=0 time=2	 64659 us)	-	
Parsing Rows 1 52319	Row Sour SORT AGG	ce Operat REGATE (c BA_OBJECT	r=6695 pr=4 p S (cr=6695 pr	pw=0 time=2 r=4 pw=0 ti	64659 us) me=1256721	- . us)	
Parsing Rows 1 52319 52319	Row Sour SORT AGG VIEW D UNION-	ce Operat REGATE (c BA_OBJECT ALL (cr=	r=6695 pr=4 p 5 (cr=6695 pr=4 p 6695 pr=4 pw	ow=0 time=2 ∽=4 pw=0 ti =0 time=942	 64659 us) me=1256721 801 us)	- . us)	
Parsing Rows 1 52319 52319 52319	SORT AGG VIEW D UNION- FILTE	ce Operat REGATE (c BA_OBJECT ALL (cr= R (cr=66	r=6695 pr=4 p 5 (cr=6695 pr 6695 pr=4 pw=0 94 pr=3 pw=0	pw=0 time=2 r=4 pw=0 ti =0 time=36728 time=36728	64659 us) me=1256721 801 us) 0 us)	- . us)	
Parsing Rows 52319 52319 52319 52319 53574	SORT AGG VIEW D UNION- FILTE HASH	CE Operat REGATE (C BA_OBJECT ALL (Cr= R (Cr=66 JOIN (CC	tion r=6695 pr=4 p S (cr=6695 pr 6695 pr=4 pw 94 pr=3 pw=0 r=655 pr=0 pw r=655 pr=0 pc	pw=0 time=2 r=4 pw=0 ti =0 time=942 time=36728 v=0 time=80	64659 us) me=1256721 801 us) 0 us) 4635 us)	- . us)	
Parsing Rows 52319 52319 52319 52319 53574	Row Sour SORT AGG VIEW D UNION- FILTE HASH TAB	CE Operat CE Operat REGATE (C BA_OBJECT ALL (Cr= R (Cr=66 JOIN (C LE ACCESS	r=6695 pr=4 p S (cr=6695 pr=4 p 6695 pr=4 pw=0 r=655 pr=0 pv FULL USERS (FULL USERS (pw=0 time=2 r=4 pw=0 ti =0 time=942 time=36728 v=0 time=80 (cr=6 pr=0	64659 us) me=1256721 801 us) 0 us) 4635 us) pw=0 time=	 . us) -242 us) -216062 us)	
Parsing Rows 52319 52319 52319 52319 53574 67 53574 2370	Row Sour SORT AGG VIEW D UNION- FILTE HASH TAB TAB	ce Operat REGATE (c BA_OBJECT R (cr=66 JOIN (c LE ACCESS LE ACCESS	r=6695 pr=4 p (5 (cr=6695 pr 6695 pr=4 pw=0 94 pr=3 pw=0 (r=655 pr=0 pv FULL USER\$ (FULL OBJ\$ (FULL OBJ\$ (FULL OBJ\$ (cm=0 time=2 r=4 pw=0 ti =0 time=942 time=36728 w=0 time=80 (cr=6 pr=0 cr=649 pr=0 cr=649 pr=0	64659 us) me=1256721 801 us) 0 us) 4635 us) pw=0 time= pw=0 time= c6020 pr=2	- . us) :=216062 us) :=216062 us)	15102 us)
Parsing Rows 1 52319 52319 52319 52319 53574 67 53574 2379 3013	Row Sour SORT AGG VIEW D UNION- FILTE HASH TAB TAB	ce Operat REGATE (c BA_OBJECT ALL (cr= ALL (cr= ALL (cr= JOIN (c LE ACCESS LE ACCESS E ACCESS E ACCESS	r=6695 pr=4 p S (cr=6695 pr=4 p 6695 pr=4 pw= 94 pr=3 pw=0 r=655 pr=0 p FULL USER\$ 6 FULL USER\$ 6 FULL USI\$ (0 BY INDEX ROW S CAN T ND1	<pre>w=0 time=2 r=4 pw=0 ti =0 time=942 time=36728 w=0 time=80 (cr=6 pr=0 cr=649 pr=0 tD IND\$ (cr cr=315 pr </pre>	64659 us) me=1256721 801 us) 0 us) 4635 us) pw=0 time= pw=0 time= =6039 pr=3	 .us) =216062 us) :pw=0 time=14 :ime=8106 us)	15102 us)
Parsing Rows 1 52319 52319 52319 52319 53574 67 53574 2379 3013 0	Row Sour SORT AGG VIEW D UNION- FILTE HASH TAB TABL IND	ce Operat REGATE (c BA_OBJECT ALL (cr= R (cr=66 JOIN (c LE ACCESS E ACCESS E ACCESS EX UNIQUE	r=6695 pr=4 p 5 (cr=6695 pr=4 pw= 6695 pr=4 pw= 94 pr=3 pw=0 r=655 pr=0 pv FULL USER\$ FULL USER\$ FULL USER\$ FULL USER\$ FULL USER\$ VINDEX ROW SCAN I_INDI	<pre>pw=0 time=2 r=4 pw=0 ti =0 time=942 time=36728 w=0 time=80 (cr=6 pr=0 r=649 pr=0 ID IND\$ (cr (cr=3015 pr=0 ID IND\$ (cr)</pre>	64659 us) me=1256721 801 us) 0 us) 4635 us) pw=0 time= pw=0 time= =6039 pr=3 r=3 pw=0 t	 242 us) =216062 us) pw=0 time=14 ime=88196 us)	45102 us) (object id 39
Parsing Rows 1 52319 52319 52319 52319 52319 523574 67 53574 2379 3013 0 0	Row Sour SORT AGG VIEW D UNION- FILTE HASH TAB TABL IND NESTE TNDE	CE Operat CE Operat REGATE (C BA_OBJECT ALL (Cr= R (Cr=66 JOIN (C LE ACCESS LE ACCESS EX UNIQUE D LOOPS V EUL SC	r=6695 pr=4 p S (cr=6695 pr 6695 pr=4 pw=0 r=655 pr=0 px FULL USERS (c FULL DBJ\$ (c BY INDEX ROW SCAN I_IND1 (cr=1 pr=1 px NT I TWN1 (c	ow=0 time=2 r=4 pw=0 ti =0 time=402 time=36728 w=0 time=80 (cr=6 pr=0 cr=649 pr=0 cr=649 pr=0 D INDS (cr (cr=3015 p w=0 time=25 cr=1 pr=1	64659 us) me=1256721 801 us) 0 us) 4635 us) pw=0 time= e6039 pr=3 r=3 pw=0 t 90 us) w=0 time=2	- . us) =216062 us) :pw=0 time=14 time=88196 us) 578 us)(object	45102 us) (object id 39
Parsing Rows 1 52319 52319 52319 52319 53574 67 53574 67 53574 07 3013 0 0 0 0	Row Sour SORT AGG VIEW D UNION- FILTE HASH TAB TAB TAB TAB TABL IND NESTE INDE	ce Operat REGATE (c BA_OBJECT ALL (cr= R (cr=66 JOIN (c LE ACCESS E ACCESS E ACCESS EX UNIQUE D LOOPS X FULL SC E ACCESS	r=6695 pr=4 p (5 (cr=6695 pr 94 pr=3 pw=0 r=655 pr=0 pw FULL USER\$ (FULL OBJ\$ ((BY INDEX ROW SCAN I_INDI (cr=1 pr=1 pw AN I_LINK1 ((ULSTEP USEP)	<pre>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>></pre>	64659 us) me=1256721 801 us) 0 us) 4635 us) pw=0 time= pw=0 time= e6039 pr=3 r=3 pw=0 t 90 us) w=0 time=2 0 nw=0 time=2	- .us) =216062 us) :pw=0 time=14 ime=88196 us) 578 us)(objec	15102 us) (object id 39 ct id 107)





















WHICH BRINGS ME BACK TO THOSE 'QUOTES"







TUNING	WHAT WE WANT
MAKE SOME COMPONENT FASTER	MAXIMIZE ECONOMIC VALUE

TUNING	WHAT WE WANT
MAKE SOME COMPONENT FASTER	MAXIMIZE ECONOMIC VALUE
TRIAL AND ERROR	INFORMED ACTION

NIZE ECONOMIC VALUE
MED ACTION
NTABILITY TO FORECAST

TUNING	WHAT WE WANT
MAKE SOME COMPONENT FASTER	MAXIMIZE ECONOMIC VALUE
TRIAL AND ERROR	INFORMED ACTION
WEAK ACCOUNTABILITY	ACCOUNTABILITY TO FORECAST
SUCCESS MEASURED BY EFFORT	SUCCESS MEASURED BY RESULTS

TUNING	?
MAKE SOME COMPONENT FASTER	MAXIMIZE ECONOMIC VALUE
TRIAL AND ERROR	INFORMED ACTION
WEAK ACCOUNTABILITY	ACCOUNTABILITY TO FORECAST
SUCCESS MEASURED BY EFFORT	SUCCESS MEASURED BY RESULTS

TUNING	*** OPTIMIZATION ***
MAKE SOME COMPONENT FASTER	MAXIMIZE ECONOMIC VALUE
TRIAL AND ERROR	INFORMED ACTION
WEAK ACCOUNTABILITY	ACCOUNTABILITY TO FORECAST
SUCCESS MEASURED BY EFFORT	SUCCESS MEASURED BY RESULTS





















*THE OPIM TOP TEN LIST

- 1. Bad connection management
- 2. Bad use of cursors and the shared pool
- 3. Bad SQL
- 4. Use of nonstandard initialization parameters
- 5. Getting database I/O wrong
- 6. Redo log setup problems
- 7. Serialization of data blocks
- 8. Long full table scans
- 9. High amounts of recursive SQL
- 10. Deployment and migration errors

http://download.oracle.com/docs/cd/B28359_01/server.111/b28274/technique.htm











IT'S LIKE A FULL TABLE SCAN











<u>Method R</u>

- 1. Target the right task
- 2. Collect its R details
- 3. Forecast, act
- 4. Repeat until optimized

R	esource consumption (seconds) by task					
	Total system		Your task		Other tasks	
Latches	7,502	74%	2	2%		
I/O	275	3%	75	75%	200	2%
Other	2,323	23%	23	23%	2,300	23%
Total	10,100	100%	100	100%	10,000	100%
















R	esource	consum	ption (se	econds)	by task	
	Total system		Your task		Other tasks	
Latches	7,502	74%	2	2%	7,500	75%
I/O	275	3%	75	75%	200	2%
Other	2,323	23%	23	23%	2,300	23%
Total	10,100	100%	100	100%	10,000	100%







G.U.T. proposition I

You must be able to attack response time problems for specific tasks that the business cares about.





ASH ANSWERS QUESTIONS ABOUT WORKLOAD CONTEXT

Who had my lock? Who had my latch? Who was eating my CPU? Who was fighting me for disk?

G.U.T. proposition 2

You need to be able to attack inefficiencies that aren't yet noticeable as user response time problems.



who's using the most stuff?



Can this task be performed more efficiently?



















http://method-r.com

http://carymillsap.blogspot.com

cary.millsap@method-r.com