ODTUG KALEIDOSCOPE 2009
June 21-25, 2009
Hyatt Regency Monterey Resort and Spa
Monterey, CA

SQL Performance Hero and OMG Method Play the Anti-patterns Greatest Hits

Jeff Jacobs
Jeffrey Jacobs & Associates
jmjacobs@jeffreyjacobs.com

Survey Says

- DBAs
- Developers
- Architects
- Heavily non-Oracle development shop
- Concerned with performance
- Access to production size database
- Easy access to running traces, Enterprise Manager

Introduction to OMG Method

- OMG Method focuses on
  - Refactoring SQL
  - Indexing
  - Refactoring application side code
- OMG Method targets performance problems created by *Developers Inexperienced in Oracle technologies* (DIO)
- OMG Method requires (almost) no DBA privileges other than indexing
  - No tracing, (almost) no init.ora parameter changes

Fair Warning

- No demos
- No “proofs”
- Quick fixes

Requirements for SQL Performance Heroes

- Good SQL fundamentals
- Able to read basic explain plans
- Understand basic performance statistics from autotrace
- Courage to make and test changes
  - ***Don't take my word for it!***
- Willingness to work with and educate DIOs

Why OMG Method

- Vast majority of performance problems are result of DIOs'
  - Lack of training in SQL and Oracle
  - Lack of interest in SQL and Oracle
  - Misinformation about SQL and Oracle performance
  - Resistance to PL/SQL
  - Focus on OO, procedural and functional programming techniques
    - *Iterative thinking* vs *set thinking*

Anti-Patterns

- *Definition*
  - *Common SQL or design practice that results in poor performance*
- OMG Method identifies common anti-patterns and techniques to fix them
  - *Always verify that OMG fixes actually improve performance*
- OMG Method does not address schema design problems
  - No changes to tables or columns

Understanding Common Design and DIOs Anti-patterns

- Overly Generic Data Models
  - OBJECT, INSTANCE, ATTRIBUTE, ATTRIBUTE_VALUE structures
- Fat, Unnormalized Tables
  - Often with in-line CLOBs
- Fear of Joins
  - “Joins are to be avoided a all costs” mentality
- Failure to Understand SQL query cost in application code
- *Iterative* vs *Set* World View

Understanding Common Design and DIOs Anti-patterns

- Unmanaged Surrogate Primary Keys
  - (Nearly) all tables have surrogate primary keys
  - Values for *same row* is not consistent across enviroments, e.g., COMPANY_ID value for same company differs across production, development, test environments
  - Typically use additional *lookup* columns
- Widespread use of *Dummy* values instead of NULL
  - DIOs uncomfortable using NULL
  - Misunderstanding of performance issues with NULL

Understanding Common Design and DIOs Anti-patterns

- “Indexed searches are always better”
- Lack of documentation

Avoid Dynamic SQL

- Avoid/eliminate dynamic SQL, e.g. creation and execution of SQL queries created by concatenating strings
  - Particularly problematic when using literals for constants
- Use prepared statements with bind variable
- Dynamic SQL results in heavy parsing overhead and SGA memory usage
  - Child cursors may be created even if the only differences between SQL queries is literal values
  - Potential for SQL Injection

Inline Views

- In SQL code, an inline view is a subquery used in place of a table, e.g.,

```
SELECT …
     FROM
   (SELECT …)
        …
```

Avoid/Replace Materialized Inline Views

- Inline views typically results in an “inline view” being created in the execution plan
  - Referred to as *materialized inline view* (MIV)
- Oracle may also *merge* the SQL inline view with the outer query
- MIVs produce a *result set,* e.g., a temporary table (not to be confused with *Global Temporary Table)*
  - MIVs are never indexed
  - Joins with a MIV effectively perform a Full Table Scan (FTS) against the MIV, e.g. *multiple FTS!*
    - Poor performance if result set is large

Avoid/Replace Materialized Inline Views

- DIOs frequently write inline views which can and should be replaced by joins
  - Generally can be done with little or no understanding of underlying schema semantics
  - Try /*+ MERGE */ hint first; generally doesn't improve performance, but worth trying
    - May also help in rewrite

Merged Inline Views

- As the Cost Based Optimizer has evolved, it frequently *merges* SQL inline views with the outer query
- Frequently not a performance improvement!
  - Particularly with poorly written SQL inline views
  - 10G's merging is much better than 9i's
- Try /*+ NO_MERGE */ hint

Never Update Primary Key Columns

- Primary key (PK) columns should never be updated, even to current value
- Common DIO approach is to update *all* columns in a row
- Updating PK columns forces examination of referencing foreign key (FK) constraints on child tables
  - General performance issue, even if FK columns indexed
  - Results in FTS if FK columns not indexed

Avoid/Remove Unnecessary Outer Joins

- DIOs frequently add outer joins “just to be safe”
- Outer joins may be expensive, limiting CBO choices
  - Be sure join columns are indexed
- Work with developer or end user to determine if outer join is needed

EXISTS vs IN

- Replacing IN with EXISTS often produces dramatic performance improvement
- IN uses *uncorrelated* subquery
- SELECT …

```
 FROM table_1 outer
  WHERE
  outer.col_1 IN
    (SELECT inner.col_1
    FROM table_2 inner
    [WHERE …])
```

IN Performance Issues

- IN may perform poorly
  - Produces result set, effectively a materialized inline view
  - Result set is unindexed
  - Result set is scanned for every row in outer query
  - Large result set is well known performance killer
- IN should only be used when the result set is small
- Note that if the value of outer.col_1 is NULL, it will never match the result of the IN
  - Use NVL on both the inner and outer columns if NULL must be matched

EXISTS vs IN

- DIOs seldom know how to use EXISTS as it involves a *correlated subquery, e.g., a* join between column(s) in the outer and column(s) in the inner query
- Replace the uncorrelated subquery with a subquery by joining the outer column from the IN clause with an appropriate column in the subquery

EXISTS Correlated Subquery

- SELECT …

```
FROM table_1 outer
WHERE
EXISTS
(SELECT 'T' –- use a simple constant here
   FROM table_2 inner
   WHERE
      outer.col_1 = inner.col_1
      [AND …]) – WHERE predicates from original
        query
```

EXISTS Correlated Subquery

- The join columns (`inner.col_1` in example) from the table in the correlated subquery should be indexed
  - Check to see if appropriate indexes exist; add them if needed
- Use a constant in the SELECT of the correlated subquery; do not select the value of an actual column
- Note that SELECT DISTINCT is unnecessary for both IN and EXISTS

Subquery Factoring using WITH

- Very powerful (and virtually unknown)
- Many DIO written queries use *identical* subqueries/inline views repeatedly
- Often lengthy UNIONs

Often lengthy UNIONs

```
SELECT …
FROM
   table_1,
   (SELECT … FROM table_2, table_3, … WHERE
     table_2.id = table_3.id) IV
   WHERE …
UNION
   SELECT …
   FROM
   Table_4,
   (SELECT … FROM table_2, table_3, … WHERE
     table_2.id = table_3.id) IV
   WHERE …
   UNION …
```

Performance Issue

- Oracle's CBO is not aware of identical nature of subqueries (unlike programming language optimizers)
  - Executes each subquery
  - Returns distinct result set for each subquery
  - Redundant, unnecessary work

Subquery Factoring

- Subquery factoring has two wonderful features
  - Generally results in improved performance
  - *Always* simplifies code
    - *Factored subquery* only appears once in the code as a *preamble*
      - Referenced by name in main query body
    - More readable, easier to maintain and modify

Syntax

```
/* Preamble, multiple subqueries may be defined */
WITH
   (SELECT …)
   AS pseudo_table_name_1
   [, (SELECT …) AS pseudo_table_name_2 …]
                /* Main query body */
                     SELECT …
               FROM pseudo_table_name_1 …
… -- typically UNIONs
```

Example

- Applying this to the example

```
/* Preamble */
WITH
    (SELECT … FROM table_2, table_3, … WHERE table_2.id
      = table_3.id
    AS IV
/* Main query body */
SELECT …
    FROM
    table_1, IV – IV is pseudo table name
    WHERE …
UNION
    SELECT …
    FROM
    Table_4, IV
    WHERE …
UNION …
```

Factoring Options

- Oracle may perform one of two operation on factored subqueries
  - Inline – performs textual substitution into main query body
    - Effectively same query as pre-factoring
    - No performance improvement due to factoring
    - Still more readable
  - *Materializing* factored subquery
    - Executes the factored subquery only once
    - Creates true temporary table (not Global Temporary Table)
    - Populates temporary table with direct load INSERT from factored subquery

Materialized Factored Subquery Issues

- Materialized Factored Subqueries (MFS) issues CREATE TABLE for temp table at least once (on 1st execution)
- Multiple tables may be created if query executions overlap
- Tables are reused if possible
- Recursive SQL performs INSERT
- Data is written to disk
- Doesn’t always result in performance improvement

Hints for Subquery Factoring

- /*+ Materialize */ will force materializing
  - Seldom, if ever, needed
  - Oracle only materializes when subquery used more than once (but verify)
- /*+ Inline */ will force textual substitution
  - Use when materializing does not improve performance
- Other hints may be used in factored subquery, e.g. INDEX, etc.
  - Note that MERGE and NO_MERGE may be combined with INLINE
- Hint follows SELECT in factored subquery
  - WITH (SELECT /*+ hint */ ..) AS …

INDEX Hints

- DIO often believe everything should use indexes
- Frequent use of *unqualified INDEX* hint, e.g., only table name specified, but no index
  - SELECT /*+ INDEX (table_name) */
  - Yes, this does work!
- Oracle will always use an index, no matter how bad
  - Unclear which index will be used; documentation says “best cost”, but unclear if true; experience suggests 1st in alphabetical order
  - Further complicated by poor indexing
- Fix by either
  - Qualifying hint by specifying index name(s)
  - Removing hint entirely
    - Removing the hint often improves performance

Constant Data Conversion Issues

- When comparing a VARCHAR2 (or CHAR) column to a constant or bind variable, be sure to use string data type or conversion function
- Oracle *does not always do what you would expect*
  - WHERE my_varchar2_col = 2
    does not convert 2 to a string!!!
  - Converts every rows's my_varchar2_col to a number for the comparison
    - Generally results in FTS
    - Common cause of "I just can't get rid of this FTS"
- Common problem with *overloaded* generic and OO models
- Even SQL Performance Heroes get bit!!!

Eliminate Unnecessary *Lookup* Joins

- Tables with unmanaged surrogate keys typically have *lookup/alternate key* column(s) with consistent data across environments
  - Very common with generic and OO models
- Typical code is:
- SELECT

```
    FROM child_table, reference_table
    WHERE
      child_table.reference_table_id =
reference_table.reference_table_id
          and reference_table.lookup_column =
'constant'
    …
```

- Results in access to reference_table for *every* applicable row in child_table

Eliminate Unnecessary *Lookup* Joins

- Even worse when UPPER/LOWER function applied to lookup_column (unless appropriate functional index exists)
- Replace with scalar subquery

```
SELECT
    FROM child_table
    WHERE
          child_table.reference_table_id =
    (SELECT reference_table_id
    FROM reference_table
    WHERE
      reference_table.lookup_column = 'constant' )
```

  - Only performs scalar subquery once

Improving Pagination

- *Pagination* refers to returning row *n* through *m* from an ordered result set using ROWNUM
  - Typically for data on a web page or screen
- Common, worst case code:

```
SELECT t1.col_1,…
    FROM
    (SELECT *
      FROM table_1
      WHERE …
      ORDER BY …) t1
    WHERE
      ROWNUM between n and m
```

Improvement Steps

1. Replace literals with bind variables
2. Replace "*" in innermost inline view with desired columns
   - Potentially reduces unnecessary I/O and sort processing
3. Refactor the query so that inline view only returns 1st *m* rows and use /*+ FIRST_ROWS */ hint (per Tom Kyte's *Effective Oracle by Design* on *Pagination with ROWNUM*)

Improvement Step #3

```
SELECT *
    FROM
        (SELECT /*+ FIRST_ROWS */
            ROWNUM AS rnum, a.*,
            FROM
            (SELECT t1.col_1,…
              FROM table_1
                 WHERE …
                 ORDER BY …) a
            WHERE
              ROWNUM <= :m)
        WHERE rnum > = :n
```

Improvement Step #4

- Replace the columns in innermost inline view with ROWID and join to table in outermost query
  - May provide substantial I/O performance improvements on fat tables, particularly those with inline CLOBs

Improvement Step #4

```
SELECT t1.col_1,…
    FROM
    table_1,
    (SELECT /*+ FIRST_ROWS */
        ROWNUM AS rnum, inner_row_id
        FROM
            (SELECT ROWID inner_row_id -– innermost query
              FROM table_1
                  WHERE …
                  ORDER BY …)
            WHERE
              ROWNUM <= :m)
        WHERE rnum > = :n
        AND table_1.ROWID = inner_row_id
```

UPDATE and DELETE Performance

- "I'm DELETEing/UPDATEing a few rows. It's virtually instantaneous when I test it in my development environment, but takes a very long time in production!" – Joe the DIO
- Check for indexes on FK constraint columns of child tables.
  - Lack of indexes on FK constraints requires an FTS of each child table for each row to be DELETEd/UPDATEd in parent table
  - Common problem with history tables
- Add appropriate indexes

UPDATE and DELETE Performance

- Look for foreign key constraints using Cascade Delete
  - Hierarchy of cascade deletes can result in very poor performance
  - Unclear if circular references ever complete
- Beyond scope of OMG
  - Application code may depend on existence of Cascade Delete
  - Quick fix may be temporarily altering constraints

Copyright © Jeffrey M. Jacobs, 2009

Eliminate *Bitmap Conversion to/from ROWIDs* Execution Plan Step

- Known performance problem unrelated to existence/use of bitmap indexes
- May be *huge* CPU hog
- `alter session set "_b_tree_bitmap_plans“ = false`
- Default in 9i and 10G is *true*
- Real fix requires setting init.ora parameter
- `_b_tree_bitmap_plans = false`
  - Requires database restart; can’t be set dynamically

Add Indexes on Foreign Key Constraints

- FK constraints should always be indexed
  - Have not yet seen exception to this rule (but always interested)
- Primary performance gains
  - Improved join performance – fundamental feature of CBO
  - UPDATE and DELETE performance
  - Oracle apparently still performs table level locks, despite statements to contrary

Copyright © Jeffrey M. Jacobs, 2009

Add Foreign Key Constraints

- "FK constraints hurt performance. We'll enforce referential integrity (RI) in the application" – Flo the DIO
  - Translation: "We won't make any mistakes in the application code"
  - Won't really verify RI in the application
    - True verification would result in worse performance
- *It doesn't matter how well the system performs if the data is corrupt!*
  - Earned big $ as expert witness demonstrating issues with lack of FK constraints
- CBO uses existence of FK constraints
- Adds to effective documentation of system

Eliminate Redundant Indexes

- Redundant indexes, e.g., indexes with identical leading columns
  - Common DIO anti-pattern
- Impacts INSERT/UPDATE/DELETE performance
- Confuses CBO
  - Unclear how CBO selects index when two (or more) have needed leading columns, but different trailing columns
- Rules of thumb
  - Eliminate index with most trailing columns
  - Indexes with more than 3 columns are suspect
  - PK indexes with trailing columns should be reduced to PK only

Reduce Unnecessary and Redundant Queries

- Worst real world case
  - 80,000 individual queries from application takes 3+ hours
  - Single query took under 30 seconds
- Individual query is not performance problem
  - Total number of queries is problem
- Two general cases
  1. Iteration
     - DIO issues large number of SELECTs, typically performing join, calculations or sorts in application
     - Generally easy to replace with single query
  2. Redundant Queries
     - DIO issues same query repeatedly for unchanging data, typically refreshing page/screen, i.e., field label
     - Requires changes to application code structure
       - Not usually Hero's domain

Add Appropriate Functional Indexes

- Functional indexes (FI) are great quick fixes for many anti-patterns
- Two most common anti-patterns

Mixed case string columns

- Column contains mixed case data used for both lookup/filtering and display
  - Good design would be two columns, one for lookup and one for display
- (Somewhat) knowledgeable DIO uses UPPER(column_name)
  - Less knowledgeable use LOWER(column_name)
- Add appropriate index(es)
  - If possible, standardize queries to use one function
  - May need to add both indexes :-{

Eliminating Dummy Values

- DIOs typically use dummy values in place of NULL, e.g., -99
- Queries use:
  WHERE column_name <> -99
  instead of
  WHERE column_name IS NOT NULL
- <> kills use of index on column_name
- If significant percentage of rows contain dummy value, add functional index to improve performance
  - NULLIF(column_name,-99)
- Queries need to be modified to use function
    - WHERE NULLIF(column_name,-99) IS NOT NULL
- Real world cases may involve multiple dummy values, e.g. -9, -99 and -999 (really!)
  - Use DECODE, CASE or other function

Use PL/SQL for Bulk Operations

- Use of BULK COLLECT and FORALL provides huge performance improvements over application side operations

Summary

- Many anti-patterns easily identifiable
- Many anti-patterns subject to easy, quick and safe fixes
  - OMG Tips won't work for every query
- SQL Hero needs to be willing to modify queries and test results
- SQL Hero needs to understand why DIOs use anti-patterns and educate them

Q&A

ODTUG KALEIDOSCOPE 2010

Marriott Wardman Park Hotel Washington, D.C. June 27-July 1

ANNOUNCING ODTUG KALEIDOSCOPE 2010 IN WASHINGTON, D.C.

TOPICS

- Application Express
- Database Development
- Essbase
- Hyperion Applications
- Hardcore Hyperion
- Middle Tier and Client-Side Development
- Oracle Business Intelligence and Hyperion Reporting
- SOA and BPM
- Other

- **MARK YOUR CALENDARS NOW - JUNE 27-JULY 1**
- **BE A PRESENTER - SUBMIT AN ABSTRACT**
- **GO TO WWW.ODTUGKALEIDOSCOPE.COM FOR MORE DETAILS**